

Criteria for transience and recurrence of regime-switching diffusion processes*

Jinghai Shao[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, 100875, Beijing, China

March 9, 2015

Abstract

We provide some criteria for recurrence of regime-switching diffusion processes using the theory of M-matrix and the Perron-Frobenius theorem. State-independent and state-dependent regime-switching diffusion processes in a finite space or in an infinite countable space are all studied in this work. Especially, we put forward a finite partition method to deal with switching processes in an infinite countable space. As an application, we study the recurrence of regime-switching Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, and provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a kind of nonlinear regime-switching diffusion processes.

AMS subject Classification (2010): 60A10, 60J60, 60J10

Keywords: Regime-switching diffusions, M-matrix, Recurrence, Ergodicity

1 Introduction

Regime-switching diffusion processes have received much attention lately, and they can provide more realistic formulation for many applications such as biology, mathematical finance, etc. See

*Supported in part by NSFC (No.11301030), NNSFC(11431014), 985-project and Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project.

[†]Email: shaojh@bnu.edu.cn

[6, 8, 9, 16] and references therein for more details on their application. The regime-switching diffusion process (for short, **RSDP**) studied in this work can be viewed as a number of diffusion processes modulated by a random switching device or as a diffusion process which lives in a random environment. More precisely, **RSDP** is a two-component process (X_t, Λ_t) , where (X_t) describes the continuous dynamics, and (Λ_t) describes the random switching device. (X_t) satisfies the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \Lambda_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, \Lambda_t)dB_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.1)$$

where (B_t) is a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, σ is $d \times d$ -matrix, and b is a vector in \mathbb{R}^d . While (Λ_t) is a continuous time Markov chain on the state space $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $N < \infty$ or $N = \infty$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{t+\delta} = l | \Lambda_t = k, X_t = x) = \begin{cases} q_{kl}(x)\delta + o(\delta), & \text{if } k \neq l, \\ 1 + q_{kk}(x)\delta + o(\delta), & \text{if } k = l, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

for $\delta > 0$. Throughout this work, the Q -matrix $Q_x = (q_{kl}(x))$ is assumed to be irreducible and conservative for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, so $q_k(x) = -q_{kk}(x) = \sum_{l \neq k} q_{kl}(x) < \infty$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \mathcal{M}$. If the Q -matrix $(q_{kl}(x))$ does not depend on x , then (X_t, Λ_t) is called a state-independent **RSDP**; otherwise, it is called a state-dependent one. When N is finite, namely, (Λ_t) is a Markov chain on a finite state space, we call (X_t, Λ_t) a **RSDP** in a finite state space. When N is infinite, we call (X_t, Λ_t) a **RSDP** in an infinite state space. Next, we collect some conditions used later.

(H) There exists a constant $\bar{K} > 0$ such that

- (i) $x \mapsto q_{ij}(x)$ is a bounded continuous function for each pair of $i, j \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (ii) $|b(x, i)| + \|\sigma(x, i)\| \leq \bar{K}(1 + |x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad i \in \mathcal{M}.$
- (iii) $|b(x, i) - b(y, i)| + \|\sigma(x, i) - \sigma(y, i)\| \leq \bar{K}|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad i \in \mathcal{M}.$
- (iv) For each $i \in \mathcal{M}$, $a(x, i) = \sigma(x, i)\sigma(x, i)^*$ is uniformly positive definite.

Here and in the sequel, σ^* stands for the transpose of matrix σ , and $\|\sigma\|$ denotes the operator norm. Hypothesis (H-i), (H-ii) and (H-iii) guarantee the existence of a unique nonexplosive solution of (1.1) and (1.2) (cf. [16, Theorem 2.1]). Hypothesis (H-iv) is used to ensure that

(X_t, Λ_t) possesses strong Feller property (cf. [15], [17]), which will be used in the study of exponential ergodicity.

Corresponding to the process (X_t, Λ_t) , there is a family of diffusion processes defined by

$$dX_t^{(i)} = b(X_t^{(i)}, i)dt + \sigma(X_t^{(i)}, i)dB_t, \quad (1.3)$$

for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$. These processes $(X_t^{(i)})$ ($i \in \mathcal{M}$) are the diffusion processes associated with (X_t, Λ_t) in each fixed environment. The recurrent behavior of (X_t, Λ_t) is intensively connected with its recurrent behavior in each fixed environment. But this connection is rather complicated as having been noted by [12]. In [12], some examples in $[0, \infty)$ with reflecting boundary at 0 and $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2\}$ were constructed. They showed that even when $(X_t^{(1)})$ and $(X_t^{(2)})$ are both positive recurrent (transient), (X_t, Λ_t) could be transient (positive recurrent, respectively) by choosing suitable transition rate (q_{ij}) between two states. In view of this complicatedness, it is a challenging work to determine the recurrent property of a regime-switching diffusion process. There are lots of work having been dedicated to this task. See, for instance, [1, 3, 5, 11, 12, 16] and references therein. Besides constructing the examples we mentioned above, [12] also studied the reversible state-independent **RSDP**. In [11], the author provided a theoretically complete characterization of recurrence and transience for a class of state-independent **RSDP**, which we will state more precisely later. In [5], some necessary and sufficient conditions were established to justify the exponential ergodicity of state-independent and state-dependent **RSDP** in a finite state space. The convergence in total variation norm and in Wasserstein distance were both studied in [5]. However, the cost function used in [5] to define the Wasserstein distance is bounded. All the previously mentioned work considered only the **RSDP** in a finite state space. Although the general criteria by the Lyapunov functions for Markov processes still work for **RSDP**, it is well known that finding a suitable Lyapunov function is a difficult task for **RSDP** due to the coexistence of generators for diffusion process and jump process. So it is better to provide some easily verifiable criteria in terms of the coefficients of diffusion process (X_t) and the Q -matrix of (Λ_t) . In this direction, [17] has provided some criteria for a class of state-dependent **RSDP** (X_t, Λ_t) in a finite state space. Precisely, the continuous component (X_t) considered in [17] behaves like a linear one and Q -matrix $(q_{ij}(x))$ behaves like a state-independent Q -matrix (\hat{q}_{ij}) in a neighborhood of ∞ .

In [13], we studied the ergodicity for **RSDP** in Wasserstein distance. Both state-independent and state-dependent **RSDP** in finite and infinite state spaces are studied in [13]. The cost func-

tion used in [13] is not necessarily bounded. We put forward some new criteria for ergodicity based on the theory of M-matrix and Perron-Frobenius theorem. Our present work is devoted to studying the recurrent property of **RSDP** in the total variation norm. Furthermore, in the present work, we also study the recurrence for **RSDP** in an infinite state space, which is rarely studied before. Based on the criteria given by the M-matrix theory, we put forward a finite partition method (see Theorem 2.7 below).

As an application of our criteria, we develop the study in [11] and [17]. In [11], the authors considered the state-independent **RSDP** (X_t, Λ_t) in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}$ with $d \geq 2$ and \mathcal{M} a finite set. For each $i \in \mathcal{M}$, the associated diffusion $(X_t^{(i)})$ has the infinitesimal generator $L^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + \Theta$, where

$$\Theta(x, i) = |x|^\delta \hat{b}(x/|x|, i) \cdot \nabla, \quad \delta \in [-1, 1]. \quad (1.4)$$

Let S^{d-1} denote the $d - 1$ -dimension sphere, and μ be the invariant probability measure for (Λ_t) . In [11], they studied the process under the condition that $\hat{b}(\phi, i) \not\equiv 0$, $\hat{b}(\phi, i) \in C^1(S^{d-1})$ for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$, and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \hat{b}(\phi, i) \mu_i = 0 \quad \text{for each } \phi \in S^{d-1}. \quad (1.5)$$

Condition (1.5) allows them to transform the problem into studying the recurrent behavior of the generator

$$\hat{L} = r^\gamma \left[c_1(\phi) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{c_2(\phi)}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} D_{S^{d-1}} + \frac{1}{r^2} L_{S^{d-1}} \right],$$

where $\gamma = 0$, if $-1 \leq \delta \leq 0$, and $\gamma = 2\delta$, if $0 < \delta < 1$, $c_1(\phi) \geq 0$, $D_{S^{d-1}}$ is a first-order operator on S^{d-1} and $L_{S^{d-1}}$ is a (possible degenerate) diffusion generator on S^{d-1} . By posing some further conditions on $c_1(\phi)$ and $c_2(\phi)$, they got a quantity ρ expressed in terms of $c_1(\phi)$, $c_2(\phi)$ and the density of invariant probability measure of the process corresponding to \hat{L} . They showed that (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent or transient according to whether $\rho \leq 0$ or $\rho > 0$. Theoretically, this result is complete although calculating ρ is a difficult task, which has been pointed out in [11]. In this work, roughly speaking, we consider the processes corresponding to $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \hat{b}_i(\phi, i) \neq 0$.

The usefulness and sharpness of the criteria established in this work can be seen from the following example. Let (Λ_t) be a continuous time Markov chain on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $N < \infty$, equipped with an irreducible conservative Q -matrix (q_{ij}) . Let μ be the invariant probability measure of (Λ_t) . Let (X_t) be a random diffusion on $[0, \infty)$ with reflecting boundary at 0 satisfying

$$dX_t = b_{\Lambda_t} X_t^\delta dt + dB_t, \quad \delta \in [-1, 1].$$

In the case $\delta \in [-1, 1)$, if $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i \leq 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent; if $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i > 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient. In the case $\delta = 1$, if $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i < 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is exponentially ergodic; if $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i > 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient. Note that the case $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i = 0$ has been studied by Corollary 2 of [11] and Remark 2 following it.

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide the first type of criteria for recurrence of **RSDP**, which uses a common function to measure the recurrent behaviour of **RSDP** in each fixed environment. Then these criteria are applied to study the recurrence of regime-switching Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. In Section 3, we provide the second type of criteria, which uses a couple of functions to measure the recurrent behaviour of **RSDP** in each fixed environment. Then we apply these criteria to study the processes considered in [11].

2 Criteria for recurrence and transience: I

Let (X_t, Λ_t) be defined by (1.1) and (1.2). Its corresponding diffusion $(X_t^{(i)})$ in each fixed environment $i \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined by (1.3), and the generator $L^{(i)}$ of $(X_t^{(i)})$ is given by

$$L^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} + \sum_{k=1}^d b_k^{(i)}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k},$$

where $a^{(i)}(x) = \sigma(x, i) \sigma(x, i)^*$, $b^{(i)}(x) = b(x, i)$. For a vector $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)^*$, we use $\text{diag}(\beta) = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$ to denote the diagonal matrix generated by β as usual.

Our first type of criteria for recurrence of **RSDP** uses a common function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to measure the recurrent property of the corresponding diffusion in each fixed environment. Then combine it with the recurrent behavior of Markov chain to determine the recurrent property of **RSDP** (X_t, Λ_t) . Let $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the following condition:

(A1) There exist constants $r_0 > 0$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$V(x) > 0, \quad L^{(i)} V(x) \leq \beta_i V(x), \quad |x| > r_0.$$

Here the constant β_i could be negative or positive, which represents the recurrent behavior of $(X_t^{(i)})$ under the measurement tool V . Then using the Perron-Frobenius theorem, we get our first criterion for recurrence of state-independent **RSDP** in a finite state space.

Theorem 2.1 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a state-independent **RSDP** defined by (1.1), (1.2) with $N < \infty$. Assume that (H) holds and there exists a function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that condition (A1) holds and*

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \beta_i < 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mu = (\mu_i)_{i \in \mathcal{M}}$ is the invariant probability measure of (Λ_t) . Then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0$, and is exponentially ergodic if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $Q_p = Q + p \operatorname{diag}(\beta)$, $p > 0$, and

$$\eta_p = - \max_{\gamma \in \operatorname{spec}(Q_p)} \operatorname{Re} \gamma, \quad \text{where } \operatorname{spec}(Q_p) \text{ denotes the spectrum of } Q_p.$$

Let $Q_{(p,t)} = e^{tQ_p}$, then the spectral radius $\operatorname{Ria}(Q_{(p,t)})$ of $Q_{(p,t)}$ equals to $e^{-\eta_p t}$. Since all coefficients of $Q_{(p,t)}$ are positive (see the argument of [1, Proposition 4.1] for details), the Perron-Frobenius theorem (see [2, Chapter 2]) yields $-\eta_p$ is a simple eigenvalue of Q_p . Moreover, note that the eigenvector of $Q_{(p,t)}$ corresponding to $e^{-\eta_p t}$ is also an eigenvector of Q_p corresponding to $-\eta_p$. Then Perron-Frobenius theorem ensures that there exists an eigenvector $\xi \gg 0$ of Q_p associated with the eigenvalue $-\eta_p$. Now applying Proposition 4.2 of [1] (by replacing A_p there with Q_p and changing the sign of p), if $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \beta_i < 0$, then there exists some $p_0 > 0$ such that $\eta_p > 0$ for any $0 < p < p_0$. Fix a p with $0 < p < \min\{1, p_0\}$ and an eigenvector $\xi \gg 0$, then we obtain

$$Q_p \xi = (Q + p \operatorname{diag}(\beta)) \xi = -\eta_p \xi \ll 0.$$

Put $f(x, i) = V(x)^p \xi_i$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$. For $|x| > r_0$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$, due to [14],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(x, i) &= Q\xi(i)V(x)^p + \xi_i L^{(i)}V(x)^p \\ &\leq (Q\xi(i) + p\beta_i \xi_i)V(x)^p \\ &= -\eta_p \xi_i V(x)^p = -\eta_p f(x, i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore, according to the Foster-Lyapunov drift conditions (cf. [11, Section 2, p.443] or [16, Theorem 3.26]), we obtain that (X_t, Λ_t) is positive recurrent if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$, and (X_t, Λ_t) is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0$. Moreover, according to [15, Theorem 5.1], inequality (2.2) yields that (X_t, Λ_t) is exponentially ergodic. \blacksquare

Remark 2.2 We give a heuristic explanation of the condition (2.1) in previous theorem. As μ is the invariant probability measure of (Λ_t) , μ_i represents in some sense the time ratio spent

by (Λ_t) in the state i . β_i represents the recurrent behavior of $(X_t^{(i)})$. Therefore, the quantity $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \beta_i$ averages the recurrent behavior of $(X_t^{(i)})$ with respect to μ , which determine the recurrent behavior of (X_t, Λ_t) according to previous theorem.

Next, we shall use the theory of M-matrix to provide a criterion on recurrence of state-independent **RSDP** in a finite state space. This criterion can be extended to deal with state-dependent **RSDP** in a finite state space or state-independent **RSDP** in an infinite state space. Let us introduce some notation and basic properties on M-matrix. We refer the reader to [2] for more discussion on this topic.

Let B be a matrix or vector. By $B \geq 0$ we mean that all elements of B are non-negative. By $B \gg 0$, we mean that all elements of B are positive.

Definition 2.3 (M-matrix) *A square matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is called an M-Matrix if A can be expressed in the form $A = sI - B$ with some $B \geq 0$ and $s \geq \text{Ria}(B)$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and $\text{Ria}(B)$ the spectral radius of B . When $s > \text{Ria}(B)$, A is called a nonsingular M-matrix.*

We cite some conditions equivalent to that A is a nonsingular M-matrix as follows, and refer to [2] for more discussion on this topic.

Proposition 2.4 ([2]) *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. A is a nonsingular $n \times n$ M-matrix.
2. All of the principal minors of A are positive; that is,

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1k} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{vmatrix} > 0 \text{ for every } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

3. Every real eigenvalue of A is positive.
4. A is semipositive; that is, there exists $x \gg 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that $Ax \gg 0$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a state-independent **RSDP** in \mathcal{M} with $N < \infty$. Assume that (H) holds and there exists a function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that condition (A1) is satisfied and the matrix $-(Q + \text{diag}(\beta))$ is a nonsingular M-matrix. Then (X_t, Λ_t) is exponentially ergodic if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$ and is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{A} the generator of (X_t, Λ_t) . Due to [14],

$$\mathcal{A}f(x, i) = L^{(i)}f(\cdot, i)(x) + Qf(x, \cdot)(i),$$

where $Qg(i) = \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}(g_j - g_i)$ for $g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$. As $-(Q + \text{diag}(\beta))$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)^* \gg 0$ such that

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)^* = -(Q + \text{diag}(\beta))\xi \gg 0.$$

Take $f(x, i) = V(x)\xi_i$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$, then for $|x| > r_0$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(x, i) &= Q\xi(i)V(x) + \xi_i L^{(i)}V(x) \\ &\leq (Q\xi(i) + \beta_i \xi_i)V(x) = -\lambda_i V(x) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_i}{\xi_i} f(x, i) \leq -\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\xi_i} \right) f(x, i). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

As $N < \infty$, we have $\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} (\lambda_i / \xi_i) > 0$. Then analogous to the argument of Theorem 2.1, we can conclude the proof. \blacksquare

Now we proceed to study the recurrence of the state-dependent **RSDP** in a finite state space. To this aim, we need to introduce an auxiliary Markov chain $(\tilde{\Lambda}_t)$ on \mathcal{M} with a conservative Q -matrix defined by:

$$\tilde{q}_{ik} = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} q_{ik}(x) & \text{if } k < i, \\ \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} q_{ik}(x) & \text{if } k > i, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \tilde{q}_{ii} = -\sum_{k \neq i} \tilde{q}_{ik}, \quad i \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.6 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a state-dependent **RSDP** in \mathcal{M} with $N < \infty$. Assume that (H) holds, and there exists a function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that condition (A1) is satisfied and the matrix $-(\tilde{Q} + \text{diag}(\beta))H_N$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, where $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{q}_{ij})$ is defined by (2.4) and*

$$H_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{N \times N}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0$ and is exponentially ergodic if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$.

Proof. Since $-(\tilde{Q} + \text{diag}(\beta))H_N$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a vector $\eta \gg 0$ such that

$$\lambda = -(\tilde{Q} + \text{diag}(\beta))H_N \eta \gg 0.$$

Set $\xi = H_N \eta$, then

$$\xi_i = \eta_i + \dots + \eta_N \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The strict positiveness of η implies that $\xi_{i+1} < \xi_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $\xi \gg 0$. By the definition of (\tilde{q}_{ij}) , we obtain that for every $i \in \mathcal{M}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_x \xi(i) &= \sum_{j>i} q_{ij}(x)(\xi_j - \xi_i) + \sum_{j<i} q_{ij}(x)(\xi_j - \xi_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{j>i} \tilde{q}_{ij}(\xi_j - \xi_i) + \sum_{j<i} \tilde{q}_{ij}(\xi_j - \xi_i). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by setting $f(x, i) = V(x)\xi_i$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(x, i) &= Q_x \xi(i)V(x) + \xi_i L^{(i)}V(x) \\ &\leq (\tilde{Q}\xi(i) + \beta_i \xi_i)V(x) = -\lambda_i V(x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then analogous to the argument of Theorem 2.1, we can conclude the proof. \blacksquare

Now we extend Theorem 2.5 to deal with state-independent **RSDP** in an infinite state space. Let $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that (A1) holds and $\bar{K} = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \beta_i < \infty$. As the M-matrix theory is about matrices with finite size, we shall put forward a finite partition method to transform the **RSDP** in an infinite state space into a new **RSDP** in a finite state space. Let

$$\Gamma = \{-\infty = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{m-1} < k_m = \bar{K}\}$$

be a finite partition of $(-\infty, \bar{K}]$. Corresponding to Γ , there exists a finite partition $F = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ of \mathcal{M} defined by

$$F_i = \{j \in \mathcal{M}; \beta_j \in (k_{i-1}, k_i]\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

We assume each F_i is nonempty, otherwise, we can delete some points in the partition Γ . Set

$$\beta_i^F = \sup_{j \in F_i} \beta_j, \quad q_{ii}^F = - \sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik}^F, \quad (2.6)$$

$$q_{ik}^F = \begin{cases} \sup_{r \in F_i} \sum_{j \in F_k} q_{rj}, & \text{if } k < i, \\ \inf_{r \in F_i} \sum_{j \in F_k} q_{rj}, & \text{if } k > i. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Then

$$\beta_j \leq \beta_i^F, \quad \forall j \in F_i, \quad \text{and } \beta_{i-1}^F < \beta_i^F, \quad i = 2, \dots, m.$$

After doing these preparation, we can get the following result.

Theorem 2.7 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a state-independent **RS**DP in \mathcal{M} with $N = \infty$. Assume that (H) holds and (Λ_t) is recurrent. Let $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that (A1) is satisfied and $\bar{K} = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \beta_i < \infty$. Define the partition Γ and the corresponding vector (β_i^F) , finite matrix Q^F as above. Suppose that the $m \times m$ matrix $-(\text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \dots, \beta_m^F) + Q^F)H_m$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, where*

$$H_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then the process (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$ and is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0$.

Proof. As $-(Q^F + \text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \dots, \beta_m^F))H_m$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a vector $\eta^F = (\eta_1^F, \dots, \eta_m^F)^* \gg 0$ such that

$$\lambda^F = (\lambda_1^F, \dots, \lambda_m^F)^* = -(Q^F + \text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \dots, \beta_m^F))H_m \eta^F \gg 0.$$

Hence, $\bar{\lambda} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \lambda_i^F > 0$. Set $\xi^F = H_m \eta^F$. Then

$$\xi_i^F = \eta_m^F + \cdots + \eta_i^F, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

which implies that $\xi_{i+1}^F < \xi_i^F$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, and $\xi^F \gg 0$. For each $j \in \mathcal{M}$, we define $\xi_j = \xi_i^F$ if $j \in F_i$, which is reasonable as (F_i) is a finite partition of \mathcal{M} . Via this method, we get a vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)^*$ from ξ^F .

Let $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ be a map defined by $\mathcal{J}(j) = k$ if $j \in F_k$. Let $Q_x g(i) = \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}(x)(g_j - g_i)$ for $g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$. Set $f(x, r) = V(x)\xi_r$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r \in \mathcal{M}$. By the definition of (β_i^F) and Q^F , we obtain that for $r \in F_i$

$$\begin{aligned} Q\xi(r) &= \sum_{j \neq r} q_{rj}(\xi_j - \xi_i) = \sum_{j \notin F_i} q_{rj}(\xi_j - \xi_i) \\ &= \sum_{k < i} \left(\sum_{j \in F_k} q_{rj} \right) (\xi_k^F - \xi_i^F) + \sum_{k > i} \left(\sum_{j \in F_k} q_{rj} \right) (\xi_k^F - \xi_i^F) \\ &\leq \sum_{k < i} q_{ik}^F (\xi_k^F - \xi_i^F) + \sum_{k > i} q_{ik}^F (\xi_k^F - \xi_i^F) = Q^F \xi^F(\mathcal{J}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(x, r) &= Q\xi(r)V(x) + \xi_r L^{(r)}V(x) \\ &\leq (Q^F \xi^F(\mathcal{J}(r)) + \beta_{\mathcal{J}(r)}^F \xi_{\mathcal{J}(r)}^F)V(x) \\ &= -\lambda_{\mathcal{J}(r)} V(x) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

As (Λ_t) is recurrent and (H-iv) holds, recurrence of (X_t, Λ_t) is equivalent to the condition that $\mathbb{P}_{x,i}(\tau_{r_0} < \infty) = 1$ for some $r_0 > 0$ and some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x| > r_0$ and some $i \in \mathcal{M}$. Here

$$\tau_{r_0} := \inf \{t > 0; (X_t, \Lambda_t) \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; |x| \leq r_0\} \times \mathcal{M}\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Applying Itô's formula to (X_t, Λ_t) with $(X_0, \Lambda_0) = (x, l)$ satisfying $|x| > r_0$ (cf. [14]), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_{t \wedge \tau_{r_0}}, \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau_{r_0}}) = f(x, l) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{r_0}} \mathcal{A}f(X_s, \Lambda_s) ds \leq f(x, l) = V(x)\xi_l. \quad (2.10)$$

Firstly, consider the case $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0$. If $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{r_0} < \infty) = 1$, then passing t to ∞ in (2.10), we get

$$\inf_{\{y: |y| \leq r_0\}} V(y) \leq \mathbb{E}V(X_{\tau_{r_0}}) \leq \max_{i,k} \left(\frac{\xi_i^F}{\xi_k^F} \right) V(x),$$

as $|X_{\tau_{r_0}}| = r_0$. We get $\inf_{\{y: |y| \leq r_0\}} V(y) > 0$ by the compactness of set $\{y; |y| \leq r_0\}$ and positiveness of function V . So, letting $|x|$ tend to ∞ in previous inequality, the right hand goes to 0, but the left hand is strictly bigger than a positive constant, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{r_0} < \infty) > 0$, and the process (X_t, Λ_t) is transient.

Secondly, consider the case $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$. Introduce another stopping time

$$\tau_K = \inf \{t > 0; |X_t| \geq K\}.$$

As the process (X_t, Λ_t) is nonexplosive, τ_K increases to ∞ almost surely as $K \rightarrow \infty$. Itô's formula also yields that

$$\mathbb{E}[V(X_{t \wedge \tau_K \wedge \tau_{r_0}}) \xi_{\Lambda_{t \wedge \tau_K \wedge \tau_{r_0}}}] \leq V(x) \xi_l.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, Fatou's lemma implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[V(X_{\tau_K \wedge \tau_{r_0}})] \leq \max_{i,k} \left(\frac{\xi_i^F}{\xi_k^F} \right) V(x),$$

and hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{r_0} \geq \tau_K) \leq \max_{i,k} \left(\frac{\xi_i^F}{\xi_k^F} \right) \frac{V(x)}{\inf_{\{y: |y|=K\}} V(y)}.$$

Since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$, letting $K \rightarrow \infty$ in the previous inequality, we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{r_0} = \infty) \leq 0$. We have completed the proof. \blacksquare

As an application of Theorem 2.7, we construct an example of state-independent **RSDP** in an infinite state space and study its recurrent property.

Example 2.1 Let (Λ_t) be a birth-death process on $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ with $q_{ii+1} \equiv b > 0$, $i \geq 1$, and $q_{ii-1} \equiv a > 0$, $i \geq 2$. Assume $a \geq b$, then (Λ_t) is recurrent (see [4, Table 1.4, p.15]). Let X_t be a **RSDP** on $[0, \infty)$ with reflecting boundary at 0 and satisfies

$$dX_t = \beta_{\Lambda_t} X_t dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t,$$

where $\beta_i = \kappa - i^{-1}$ for $i \geq 1$.

First, set $V(x) = x$. Let us take the finite partition $F = \{F_1, F_2\}$ to be $F_1 = \{1\}$ and $F_2 = \{2, 3, \dots\}$. It is easy to see that $q_{12}^F = b$ and $q_{21}^F = a$. Then

$$L^{(i)} V(x) = \beta_i V(x), \quad x > 1, \quad i \geq 1.$$

So $\beta_1^F = \kappa - 1$, $\beta_2^F = \kappa$, and

$$-(Q^F + \text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \beta_2^F)) H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b - \beta_1^F & -\beta_1^F \\ -a & -\beta_2^F \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.4, we get that previous matrix is a nonsingular M-matrix if and only if

$$\kappa < \frac{a + b + 1 - \sqrt{(a + b + 1)^2 - 4a}}{2}. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, according to Theorem 2.7, if (2.11) holds, the process (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent.

Second, set $V(x) = x^{-1}$. We still take $F_1 = \{1\}$, and $F_2 = \{2, 3, \dots\}$. Then

$$L^{(i)}V(x) = (-\beta_i + 2x^{-2})x^{-1} \leq (-\beta_i + 2r_0^{-2})V(x), \quad \text{for } x > r_0.$$

Therefore, in this case, $\beta_1^F = -\kappa + 1 + 2r_0^{-2}$ and $\beta_2^F = -\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + 2r_0^{-2}$. Set

$$\kappa_0 = \begin{cases} 1 - b & \text{if } 2ab \leq 1 - b, \\ \frac{1-b-a+\sqrt{(a+b-1)^2+4a+2b-2}}{2} & \text{if } 2ab > 1 - b. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

If $\kappa > \kappa_0$, then there exist $r_0 > 0$ such that the matrix $-(Q^F + \text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \beta_2^F))H_2$ is a nonsingular M-matrix. Consequently, Theorem 2.7 yields that (X_t, Λ_t) is transient if $\kappa > \kappa_0$. More precisely, if we take $b = 1$ and $a = 2$, then (Λ_t) is exponentially ergodic, but (X_t, Λ_t) is transient if $\kappa > \sqrt{3} - 1 \approx 0.732$ and is recurrent if $\kappa < 2 - \sqrt{2} \approx 0.586$.

Next, we divide the state space into three parts. Precisely, let $F = \{F_1, F_2, F_3\}$ with $F_1 = \{1\}$, $F_2 = \{2\}$ and $F_3 = \{3, 4, \dots\}$. Corresponding to this partition, we have

$$Q^F = \begin{pmatrix} -b & b & 0 \\ a & -(a+b) & b \\ 0 & a & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

By taking $V(x) = x$ again, we get that $\beta_1^F = \kappa - 1$, $\beta_2^F = \kappa - \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_3^F = \kappa$. Consider only the case $b = 1$, $a = 2$. By Theorem 2.7, if the matrix

$$-(\text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \beta_2^F, \beta_3^F) + Q^F)H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \kappa & 1 - \kappa & 1 - \kappa \\ -2 & \frac{3}{2} - \kappa & \frac{1}{2} - \kappa \\ 0 & -2 & -\kappa \end{pmatrix}$$

is a nonsingular M-matrix, then the process (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent. Applying Proposition 2.4, we obtain that if $\kappa < \frac{1}{4}(11 - \sqrt{73}) \approx 0.614$, then the previous matrix is a nonsingular M-matrix, and hence (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent. This shows that the upper bound for recurrence of this process can be improved by dividing \mathcal{M} into more pieces. For the transience, we take $V(x) = x^{-1}$, then

$$L^{(i)}V(x) = (-\beta_i + 2x^{-2})x^{-1} \leq (-\beta_i + 2r_0^{-2})V(x), \quad \text{for } x > r_0.$$

We have $\beta_1^F = -\kappa + 1 + 2r_0^{-2}$, $\beta_2^F = -\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + 2r_0^{-2}$, and $\beta_3^F = -\kappa + 2r_0^{-2}$. Direct calculation yields that if $\kappa > \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{17} - 1) \approx 0.7807$, the matrix $-(\text{diag}(\beta_1^F, \beta_2^F, \beta_3^F) + Q^F)H_3$ is a nonsingular

M-matrix, and hence (X_t, Λ_t) is transient due to Theorem 2.7. Unfortunately, this lower bound is bigger than $\sqrt{3} - 1$ obtained previously when we just divide \mathcal{M} into two parts.

Based on Example 2.1, we construct another example of state-dependent **RSDP** in a finite state space.

Example 2.2 Let X_t satisfy the following SDE on $[0, \infty)$ with reflecting boundary at 0,

$$dX_t = \beta_{\Lambda_t} X_t dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t,$$

where $\beta_1 = \kappa - 1$, $\beta_2 = \kappa$, and (Λ_t) is a stochastic process on $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2\}$ satisfying

$$q_{12}(x) = \frac{b(1+2x)}{1+x}, \quad q_{21}(x) = \frac{a(1+2x)}{2(1+x)}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

and $q_{11}(x) = -q_{12}(x)$, $q_{22}(x) = -q_{21}(x)$. By (2.4), it is easy to see that $\tilde{q}_{12} = b$ and $\tilde{q}_{21} = a$. For the recurrence, we take $V(x) = x$, then

$$L^{(i)}V(x) = \tilde{\beta}_i V(x), \quad x > 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \kappa - 1$ and $\tilde{\beta}_2 = \kappa$. Therefore, if (2.11) holds, then $-(\tilde{Q} + \text{diag}(\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2))H_2$ is a nonsingular M-matrix, and hence the process (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent due to Theorem 2.6. For the transience, we take $V(x) = x^{-1}$, then

$$L^{(i)}V(x) = (-\beta_i + 2x^{-2})x^{-1} \leq (-\beta_i + 2r_0^{-2})V(x), \quad \text{for } x > r_0.$$

Similar to the discussion in Example 2.1, we obtain that the process (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\kappa > \kappa_0$, where κ_0 is given by (2.12).

Next, we consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type process with regime-switching, that is, the process (X_t, Λ_t) satisfies:

$$dX_t = b_{\Lambda_t} X_t dt + \sigma_{\Lambda_t} dB_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.13)$$

where σ_i is a $d \times d$ matrix, b_i is a constant, (B_t) is a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , and (Λ_t) is a continuous Markov chain on the space $\mathcal{M} = \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $N < \infty$. Assume that (Λ_t) , (B_t) are mutually independent. The Q -matrix (q_{ij}) of (Λ_t) is independent of (X_t) , and is irreducible and conservative. We assume that the matrix $\sigma_i \sigma_i^*$ is positive definite for every $i \in \mathcal{M}$. Let

$\mu = (\mu_i)$ be the invariant probability measure of (Λ_t) . In [10], the authors showed that when $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i < 0$, the process (X_t, Λ_t) is ergodic in weak topology, that is, the distribution of (X_t, Λ_t) converges weakly to a probability measure ν . In [1, 7], the tail behavior of ν was studied.

Proposition 2.8 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be defined by (2.13) with $\sigma_i \sigma_i^*$ being positive definite for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i < 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is exponentially ergodic. If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i > 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient.*

Proof. By (2.13), the generator $L^{(i)}$ of $(X_t^{(i)})$ is given by

$$L^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} + \sum_{k=1}^d b_i x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k},$$

where $a^{(i)} = \sigma_i \sigma_i^*$. Take $V(x) = |x|$, then for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$L^{(i)} V(x) = b_i |x|, \text{ for } |x| > 1.$$

As $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x| = \infty$, by Theorem 2.1, we get (X_t, Λ_t) is exponentially ergodic if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i < 0$.

Now we take $V(x) = |x|^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(i)} V(x) &= \frac{\gamma(\gamma+2)}{2} \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^{(i)} |x|^{-\gamma-4} x_k x_l - \frac{\gamma}{2} \left(\sum_k a_{kk}^{(i)} \right) |x|^{-\gamma-2} - \gamma b_i |x|^{-\gamma} \\ &= |x|^{-\gamma} \left(-\gamma b_i + \frac{\gamma(\gamma+2)}{2} |x|^{-4} \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^{(i)} x_k x_l - \frac{\gamma}{2} |x|^{-2} \sum_k a_{kk}^{(i)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $|x| > r_0 > 0$. When r_0 is sufficiently large, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\gamma(\gamma+2)}{2} |x|^{-4} \sum_{k,l} a_{kl}^{(i)} x_k x_l - \frac{\gamma}{2} |x|^{-2} \sum_k a_{kk}^{(i)} \leq \frac{1}{r_0}, \quad \forall |x| > r_0.$$

Therefore, we get

$$L^{(i)} V(x) \leq \left(-\gamma b_i + \frac{1}{r_0} \right) V(x), \quad |x| > r_0. \quad (2.14)$$

By Theorem 2.1, as $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-\gamma} = 0$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \left(-\gamma b_i + \frac{1}{r_0} \right) = -\gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i + \frac{1}{r_0} \leq 0,$$

then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient. When $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i > 0$, we can always find a constant $r_0 > 0$ sufficiently large such that $-\gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i + \frac{1}{r_0} < 0$. Hence, when $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i b_i > 0$, (X_t, Λ_t) is transient. ■

3 Criteria for transience and recurrence: II

According to Foster-Lyapunov drift condition for diffusion processes, if there exists a function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying (A1) with $\beta_i \leq 0$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$, then the diffusion process $(X_t^{(i)})$ is exponentially ergodic. When there is no diffusion process $(X_t^{(i)})$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$, being exponentially ergodic, we can not find suitable function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying (A1), so the criteria introduced in Section 2 are useless for this kind of **RSDP**. For example, the diffusion process corresponding to $L^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta + |x|^{\delta}\hat{b}(x/|x|, i) \cdot \nabla$ with $\delta \in [0, 1)$ is not exponentially ergodic. Therefore, to deal with this kind of processes, we need to extend our criteria established in Section 2. Let (X_t, Λ_t) be defined by (1.1) and (1.2) and $(X_t^{(i)})$ be the corresponding diffusion process in the fixed environment $i \in \mathcal{M}$ with the generator $L^{(i)}$. Instead of finding one function V satisfying condition (A1), we look for two functions $h, g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the following condition:

(A2) There exists some constant $r_0 > 0$ such that for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$h(x), g(x) > 0, \quad L^{(i)}h(x) \leq \beta_i g(x), \quad \forall |x| > r_0,$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} = 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^{(i)}g(x)}{g(x)} = 0.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a state-independent **RSDP** defined by (1.1) and (1.2) with $N < \infty$. Assume (H) holds. Let μ be the invariant probability measure of the process (Λ_t) . Suppose that there exist two functions $h, g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that (A2) holds and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \beta_i < 0.$$

Then (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ and is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$.

Proof. As $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \beta_i < 0$, by the Fredholm alternative (see [11, p.434]), we obtain that there exist a constant $\kappa > 0$ and a vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ such that

$$Q\boldsymbol{\xi}(i) = -\kappa - \beta_i, \quad i \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (3.1)$$

Set $f(x, i) = h(x) + \xi_i g(x)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(x, i) &= L^{(i)}h(x) + \xi_i L^{(i)}g(x) + Q\boldsymbol{\xi}(i)g(x) \\ &\leq \left(\beta_i + Q\boldsymbol{\xi}(i) + \xi_i \frac{L^{(i)}g(x)}{g(x)} \right) g(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for large $|x|$. By (3.1), (3.2) and condition (A2), we get

$$\mathcal{A}f(x, i) \leq \left(-\kappa + \xi_i \frac{L^{(i)}g(x)}{g(x)} \right) g(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for large } |x|. \quad (3.3)$$

As $N < \infty$, ξ is bounded. Since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} = 0$ and $f(x, i) = (1 + \xi_i \frac{g(x)}{h(x)})h(x)$ for $|x| > r_0$, it is easy to see that there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that $f(x, i) > 0$ for $|x| > r_1$. In addition, if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$, then $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x, i) = \infty$; if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$, then $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x, i) = 0$. By the method of Lyapunov function, inequality (3.3) yields that (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ and is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$. ■

We proceed to extend the previous criterion to deal with state-dependent **RSDP** in a finite state-space.

Theorem 3.2 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a state-dependent **RSDP** in \mathcal{M} with $N < \infty$. Assume (H) holds and there exist functions $h, g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that (A2) holds. Let \tilde{Q} be defined by (2.4). Suppose there exists a positive nonincreasing function η on \mathcal{M} such that $\beta_i + \tilde{Q}\eta(i) < 0$ for every $i \in \mathcal{M}$. Then (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$ and is transient if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$.*

Proof. By the nonincreasing property of η and the definition of \tilde{Q} , it is easy to see that

$$Q_x \eta(i) \leq \tilde{Q}\eta(i), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad i \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Set $f(x, i) = h(x) + \eta_i g(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \mathcal{M}$. We get

$$\mathcal{A}f(x, i) \leq (\beta_i + \tilde{Q}\eta(i) + \eta_i \frac{L^{(i)}g(x)}{g(x)})g(x).$$

Then the desired result follows from the same deduction as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. ■

Now we apply our second type criterion on recurrence to investigate the recurrent property of the process studied by [11]. Let

$$dX_t = |X_t|^\delta \hat{b}(X_t/|X_t|, \Lambda_t)dt + \sigma(X_t, \Lambda_t)dB_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \geq 1, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\delta \in [-1, 1)$, $\hat{b}(\cdot, \cdot) : S^{d-1} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, and (B_t) is a d -dimensional Brownian motion. Let (Λ_t) be a continuous time Markov chain on \mathcal{M} with irreducible conservative Q -matrix (q_{ij}) , which is independent of (B_t) . Let μ be the invariant probability measure of (Λ_t) . Set $a^{(i)}(x) = \sigma(x, i)\sigma(x, i)^*$, which is assumed to be uniformly positive definite. Suppose

condition (H) is satisfied. In [11], the authors considered the recurrent property of (X_t, Λ_t) under the condition

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \hat{b}(\phi, i) = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in S^{d-1}.$$

In this section, we shall study the case $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \hat{b}(\phi, i) \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.3 *Assume that $\|a^{(i)}(\cdot)\|$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^d for every $i \in \mathcal{M}$. Let*

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) \frac{x_k}{|x|}, & \text{if } \delta \in (-1, 1), \\ \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d a_{kk}^{(i)}(x) - \frac{\sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)} x_k x_l}{2|x|^2} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) x_k}{|x|} \right), & \text{if } \delta = -1, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\tilde{\beta}_i = \begin{cases} \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) \frac{x_k}{|x|}, & \text{if } \delta \in (-1, 1), \\ \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d a_{kk}^{(i)}(x) - \frac{\sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)} x_k x_l}{2|x|^2} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) x_k}{|x|} \right), & \text{if } \delta = -1. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \beta_i < 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) defined by (3.4) is recurrent. If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \tilde{\beta}_i > 0$, then (X_t, Λ_t) is transient.

Proof. For the recurrence, set $h(x) = |x|^\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, and $g(x) = |x|^{\gamma+\delta-1}$. Then it holds that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} = 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^{(i)}g(x)}{g(x)} = 0.$$

By direct calculation we get

$$L^{(i)}h(x) = \left[(\gamma - 1) \frac{\sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)}(x) x_k x_l}{2|x|^{\delta+3}} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d a_{kk}^{(i)}(x)}{2|x|^{\delta+1}} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) x_k}{|x|} \right] \gamma g(x). \quad (3.7)$$

When $\delta \in (-1, 1)$,

$$L^{(i)}h(x) = \left[O(|x|^{-\delta-1}) + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) x_k}{|x|} \right] \gamma g(x),$$

which implies that if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \beta_i < 0$, then there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \left(O(|x|^{-\delta-1}) + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, i\right) x_k}{|x|} \right) < 0, \quad \text{for } |x| > r_0.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, as $\gamma > 0$, we obtain that (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \beta_i < 0$.

When $\delta = -1$, it holds

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} (\gamma - 1) \frac{\sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)}(x) x_k x_l}{2|x|^{\delta+3}} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d a_{kk}^{(i)}(x)}{2|x|^{\delta+1}} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k(\frac{x}{|x|}, i) x_k}{|x|} = \beta_i.$$

Therefore, if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i \beta_i < 0$, by choosing $\gamma > 0$ sufficiently small and $r_0 > 0$ sufficiently large, we can use Theorem 3.1 to show that (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent.

For the transience, set $h(x) = |x|^{-\gamma}$ and $g(x) = |x|^{-\gamma+\delta-1}$ for $\gamma > 0$. Then it still holds

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} = 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^{(i)}g(x)}{g(x)} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(i)}h(x) = & \left[-(\gamma + 1) \frac{\sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}^{(i)}(x) x_k x_l}{2|x|^{\delta+3}} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d a_{kk}^{(i)}(x)}{2|x|^{\delta+1}} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d \hat{b}_k(\frac{x}{|x|}, i) x_k}{|x|} \right] (-\gamma)g(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Note that it is $-\gamma < 0$ before $g(x)$ in above equality. Similar to the argument in step (1), we can conclude the proof. \blacksquare

When the dimension d is equal to 1, we can obtain a complete criterion presented as follows.

Corollary 3.4 *Let (X_t, Λ_t) be a regime-switching diffusion on $[0, \infty)$ with reflecting boundary at 0, where (X_t) satisfies*

$$dX_t = b_{\Lambda_t} X_t^\delta dt + \sigma_{\Lambda_t} dB_t, \quad \delta \in [-1, 1),$$

where b_i, σ_i are constants for i in a finite set \mathcal{M} . (Λ_t) is a continuous time Markov chain on \mathcal{M} independent of (B_t) . Then (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if and only if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i \leq 0$.

Proof. By taking $h(x)$ and $g(x)$ as in the Theorem 3.3, it is easy to check that $\beta_i = \tilde{\beta}_i = b_i$. So according to Theorem 3.3, (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i < 0$ and is transient if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i > 0$. Therefore, we only need to consider the case $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i = 0$. To deal with

this situation, we have to consider it separately according to the range of δ . Note that it holds $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i (Q^{-1}b)(i) < 0$ as $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i = 0$ (cf. [11]).

Case 1: $\delta \in (0, 1)$. For $p > 0$, set

$$f(x, i) = x^p - p(Q^{-1}b)(i)x^{p-1+\delta} + c_i x^{p-2+2\delta},$$

where the vector (c_i) would be determined later. By noting that $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}f(x, i) = [-p(p-1+\delta)b_i(Qb)(i) + Qc(i)]x^{p-2+2\delta} + o(x^{p-2+2\delta}).$$

Take $p \in (0, 1-\delta)$, then $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} p(p-1+\delta)\mu_i b_i (Q^{-1}b)(i) > 0$. By the Fredholm alternative, there exist a constant $\beta > 0$ and a vector (c_i) such that $Qc(i) = p(p-1+\delta)b_i(Q^{-1}b)(i) - \beta$. Choosing these p and (c_i) , we have $\mathcal{A}f(x, i) = -\beta x^{p-2+2\delta} + o(x^{p-2+2\delta})$. As $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x, i) = \infty$ for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$, we obtain that (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent when $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i b_i = 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

Case 2: $\delta \in [-1, 0)$. In this situation, we take $f(x, i) = x^p - p(Q^{-1}b)(i)x^{p-1+\delta}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}f(x, i) &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 p(p-1)x^{p-2} - p(Q^{-1}b)(i) \left[\frac{1}{2}(p-1+\delta)(p-2+\delta)\sigma_i^2 x^{p-3+\delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b_i(p-1+\delta)x^{p-2+2\delta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 p(p-1)x^{p-2} + o(x^{p-2}). \end{aligned}$$

By setting $p \in (0, 1)$, we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x, i) = \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}f(x, i) \leq 0$. Hence, (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent.

Case 3: $\delta = 0$. We take $f(x, i) = x^p - p(Q^{-1}b)(i)x^{p-1} + c_i x^{p-2}$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}f(x, i) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 p(p-1) - p(p-1)b_i(Q^{-1}b)(i) + Qc(i) \right] x^{p-2} + o(x^{p-2}).$$

Putting $p \in (0, 1)$, as $p(p-1)\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \mu_i (\sigma_i^2 - b_i(Q^{-1}b)(i)) < 0$, there exist a vector (c_i) and a positive constant β such that

$$Qc(i) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 p(p-1) - p(p-1)b_i(Q^{-1}b)(i) = -\beta < 0.$$

Therefore, we get $\mathcal{A}f(x, i) \leq 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x, i) = \infty$, which implies that (X_t, Λ_t) is recurrent. We complete the proof. \blacksquare

References

- [1] BARDET, J., GUÉRIN, H. and MALRIEU F. (2010). Long time behavior of diffusions with Markov switching. *Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **7**, 151-170.
- [2] BERMAN, A. and PLEMMONS, R.J. (1994). Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences. *SIAM Press classics Series*, Philadelphia.
- [3] BENAÏM, M., LE BORGNE, S., MALRIEU, F. and ZITT, P.-A. (2012). Quantitative ergodicity for some switched dynamical systems. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **17**, 1-14.
- [4] M.-F. Chen, Eigenvalues, inequalities, and ergodic theory, Springer, 2005.
- [5] CLOEZ, B. and HAIRER, M. (2013). Exponential ergodicity for Markov processes with random switching. to appear in Bernoulli, or arXiv: 1303.6999.
- [6] CRUDU, A., DEBUSSCHE, A., MULLER, A. and RADULESCU, O. (2012). Convergence of stochastic gene networks to hybrid piecewise deterministic processes. *Annals of Applied Probability*, **22**, 1822-1859.
- [7] DE SAPORTA, B. and YAO, J.-F. (2005). Tail of linear diffusion with Markov switching. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **15**, 992-1018.
- [8] GHOSH, M., ARAPOSTATHIS, A. and MARCUS, S. (1992). Optimal control of switching diffusions with application to flexible manufacturing systems. *SIAM J. Control and optimization*, **30** (6), 1-23.
- [9] GUO, X. and ZHANG, Q. (2004). Closed-form solutions for perpetual American put options with regime switching. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **64**, 2034-2049.
- [10] GUYON, X., IOVLEFF, S. and YAO, J.-F. (2004). Linear diffusion with stationary switching regime. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* **8**, 25-35.
- [11] PINSKY, M. and PINSKY, R. (1993). Transience recurrence and central limit theorem behavior for diffusions in random temporal environments. *Ann. Probab.* **21**, 433-452.
- [12] PINSKY, R. and SCHEUTZOW, M. (1992). Some remarks and examples concerning the transience and recurrence of random diffusions. *Ann. Inst. Henri. Poincaré*, **28**, 519-536.

- [13] SHAO, J. (2015). Ergodicity of regime-switching diffusions in Wasserstein distances. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **125**, 739-758.
- [14] SKOROKHOD, A. V. (1989). *Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [15] XI, F. (2008). Feller property and exponential ergodicity of diffusion processes with state-dependent switching. *Science in China Series A: Mathematics*, **51**, 329-342.
- [16] YIN, G. and ZHU, C. (2010). *Hybrid switching diffusions: properties and applications*. Vol. 63, Stochastic Modeling and Applied Probability, Springer, New York.
- [17] ZHU, C. and YIN, G. (2009). On strong Feller, recurrence and weak stabilization of regime-switching diffusions. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **48**, 2003-2031.